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#### Abstract

Let $K$ be a subspace of $R^{n}$ and let $K^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal complement of $K$. Rockafellar has shown that certain properties of $K$ may be characterized by considering the possible patterns of signs of the nonzero components of vectors of $K$ and of $K^{\perp}$. Such considerations are shown to lead to the standard characterization theorem for discrete linear Chebyshev approximation as well as to several results on uniqueness of solutions. A method is given for testing uniqueness of a given solution. A special case related to graph theory is discussed and combinatorial methods are given for solving and testing for uniqueness.


## 1. Introduction

Let $K$ be a subspace of $R^{n}$ and let $K^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal complement of $K$. Rockafeller has shown in [7] that certain properties of $K$ may be characterized by considering the possible patterns of signs of the nonzero components of vectors of $K$ and of $K^{\perp}$. We show here that such considerations lead to the standard characterization theorem for discrete linear Chebyshev approximation as well as to several results on uniqueness of solutions. We give a method for testing uniqueness of a known solution. Also, we discuss a Chebyshev approximation problem concerning a real-valued function on a subset of $W \times W$, where $W$ is a finite set. By reference to the concept of tension in a graph, we show the problem to be a special case of Chebyshev approximation in a linear subspace. We describe combinatorial methods for solving this special problem and testing a given solution for uniqueness.

## 2. Preliminaries

We view a vector $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ as a real-valued function on a set $E=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$. The support of $x$, denoted $S(x)$, is the subset of $E$ on which

[^0]the function is nonzero. The number of elements in $S(x)$ is denoted $|S(x)|$. We say that $x$ conforms to $y$ on $M \subseteq E$ if $\left[e_{i} \in M\right.$ and $\left.x_{i} \neq 0\right] \Rightarrow x_{i} y_{2}>0$. Thus, that statement implies that $M \cap S(x) \subseteq M \cap S(y)$. The statement " $x$ conforms to $y$ " means that $x$ conforms to $y$ on $E$. (If $x$ conforms to $y$ then $S(x) \subseteq S(y)$.)

If $x$ and $y$ belong to $R^{n}$, let $x \cdot y$ denote $x_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} y_{n}$. The following is a (slightly weaker) version of a result given by Rockafeller [6].

Theorem 2.1. Let $K$ be a subspace of $R^{n}$. Let $K^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal complement of $K$. Let $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{n}$ be arbitrary real intervals (not necessarily closed, open, or bounded, possibly a single point). Then, one and only one of the following alternatives holds:
(a) There exists a vector $u \in K$ such that $u_{i} \in I_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
(b) There exists a vector of $K^{\perp}, v$, such that $v \cdot w>0$ for all $w \in R^{n}$ satisfying $w_{i} \in I_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

A spanning vector of $K$ is a vector $x \in K$ such that for every nonzero $y \in K^{\perp}$, $S(x) \cap S(y) \neq \varnothing$. Suppose the $q$ columns of matrix $A$ form a basis for $K^{\perp}$. Let $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t}\right\}$ be the indices of a subset of the rows of $A$ and consider the submatrix consisting of these rows. The $q$ columns of the submatrix are linearly dependent if and only if the rank of the submatrix is less than $q$ and hence, there exists nonzero $y \in K^{\perp}$ such that $y_{i_{j}}=0$ for $j=1, \ldots, t$ if and only if this rank is less than $q$. Thus, $x \in K$ is a spanning vector of $K$ if and only if the rows of $A$ whose indices correspond to the indices of the nonzero components of $x$ form a submatrix of rank $q$.

The following will be used in the next section:

Theorem 2.2. Let $K$ be a subspace of $R^{n}$. Then, $K$ possesses a spanning vector if and only if no vector of $K^{\perp}$ has exactly one nonzero component.

Proof. Suppose that no vector of $K^{\perp}$ has exactly one nonzero component. Let $x$ be a vector of $K$ whose support has maximum cardinality. Suppose that $x_{j}=0$. There must exist $z \in K$ such that $z_{j} \neq 0$ or there exists $w \in K^{\perp}$ with $S(w)=\left\{e_{j}\right\}$. Let

$$
\lambda=(1 / 2) \min _{x_{i} \neq 0}\left|x_{i}\right| / \max _{i}\left|z_{\imath}\right|
$$

Then, $|S(x+\lambda z)|>|S(x)|$, contradicting the assumption about $x$. Therefore $x_{j} \neq 0$ and $S(x)=E$. Hence, $x$ is a spanning vector of $K$. Conversely, if $y \in K^{\perp}$ has exactly one nonzero component, orthogonality implies that $S(y) \cap S(x)=\varnothing$ for every $x \in K$. Therefore, $K$ has no spanning vector.

## 3. Characterization and Uniqueness

The problem we study is the following: Let $K$ be a subspace of $R^{n}$ and let $b \in R^{n}$. (We are primarily interested in the case where $b \notin K$.) We wish to find $u^{*} \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{*}-b\right\|_{\infty}=\max _{i}\left|u_{2}^{*}-b_{2}\right| \leqslant\|u-b\|_{\infty} \quad \forall u \in K . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A proof of the existence of $u^{*}$ satisfying (3.1) appears in [5]. The following restates the characterization theorem given in [4].

Theorem 3.1. Let $K$ be a subspace of $R^{n}$ and let $b \in R^{n}, b \notin K$. Let $u^{*} \in R^{n}$, $r^{*}=u^{*}-b, T^{*}=\left\{e_{3}:\left|r_{3}{ }^{*}\right|=\left\|r^{*}\right\|_{\infty}\right\}$. Then, $u^{*}$ satisfies (3.1) if and only if there exists nonzero $v \in K^{\perp}$ such that $S(v) \subseteq T^{*}$ and $v$ conforms to $r^{*}$.

Proof. Let $u^{*}$ be given and let there exist nonzero $v \in K^{\perp}$ such that $S(r) \subseteq T^{*}$ and $v$ conforms to $r^{*}$. Suppose that $u^{*}$ does not satisfy (3.1). Then, there exists $\bar{u} \in K$ with $\|\bar{u}-b\|_{\infty}=\|\bar{r}\|_{\infty}<\left\|r^{*}\right\|_{\infty}$. In this case, $r_{1}^{*}>\bar{r}_{i}$ if $r_{2}{ }^{*}>0$ and $e_{i} \in T^{*} ; r_{i}^{*}<\bar{r}_{2}$ if $r_{2}{ }^{*}<0$ and $e_{i} \in T^{*}$. Thus, $u^{\prime}=$ $r^{*}-\overline{\boldsymbol{r}} \in K$ conforms to $r^{*}$ on $T^{*}$ with $T^{*} \subseteq S\left(u^{\prime}\right)$. This contradicts $v \cdot u^{\prime}=0$, so $u^{*}$ must satisfy (3.1).

Conversely, suppose that $u^{*}$ satisfies (3.1). There is no $u^{\prime} \in K$ such that $T^{*} \subseteq S\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ and $u^{\prime}$ conforms to $r^{*}$ on $T^{*}$, or else for some $\lambda>0$ we have $\left\|r^{*}-\lambda u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\left\|r^{*}\right\|_{\infty}$. In Theorem 2.1, let $I_{i}=(0, \infty)$ if $r_{2}{ }^{*}>0, I_{i}=$ $(-\infty, 0)$ if $r_{2}{ }^{*}<0, I_{\imath}=(-\infty, \infty)$ otherwise. Then, alternative (a) is false so there exists nonzero $v \in K^{\perp}$ such that $S(v) \subseteq T^{*}$ and $v$ conforms to $r^{*}$.

The following is used in Section 5.
Corollary 3.1.1. Let $K$ be a subspace of $R^{n}$ and let $b \in R^{n}$. Then

$$
\min _{u \in K}\|u-b\|_{\infty}=\max _{\text {nonzero } v \in K^{+}} v \cdot b /\|v\|_{1} .
$$

Proof. If $b \in K$ the statement is clearly true, since $v \cdot b=0$ for all $v \in K^{\perp}$. Assume that $b \notin K$. For any $v \in K^{\perp},|v \cdot b|=|v \cdot(u-b)| \leqslant\|v\|_{1}\|u-b\|_{\infty}$ for all $u \in K$. Thus, $\|u-b\|_{\infty} \geqslant v \cdot b\|v\|_{1}$ for all $u \in K$ and all nonzero $v \in K^{\perp}$. Let $u^{*}$ be a solution of (3.1). By Theorem 3.1, there exists nonzero $v^{*} \in K^{+}$such that $v^{*} \cdot\left(u^{*}-b\right)=\left\|u^{*}-b\right\|_{\infty}\left\|v^{*}\right\|_{1}=-v^{*} \cdot b$. The equality of the Theorem is satisfied by $u^{*}$ and $-v^{*}$. ■
The following gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a solution of (3.1) to be unique.

Theorem 3.2. Let $K$ be a subspace of $R^{n}$ and let $b \in R^{n}, b \notin K$. Let $u^{*} \in R^{n}$, $r^{*}=u^{*}-b, T^{*}=\left\{e_{j}:\left|r_{j}{ }^{*}\right|=\left\|r^{*}\right\|_{\infty}\right\}$. Then, $u^{*}$ is the unique solution
of (3.1) if and only if there exists a spanning vector of $K^{\perp}, v$, such that $S(v)=T^{*}$ and $v$ conforms to $r^{*}$.

Proof. Suppose that two distinct vectors, $u^{*}$ and $u^{\prime}$, satisfy (3.1). Let $r^{\prime}=u^{\prime}-b$. Then, $r^{*}-r^{\prime} \in K$ conforms to $r^{*}$ on $T^{*}$. Suppose that $v \in K^{\perp}$ satisfies $S(v)=T^{*}$ and conforms to $r^{*}$. Then, by orthogonality, $S(v) \cap$ $S\left(r^{*}-r^{\prime}\right)=\varnothing$, so $v$ is not a spanning vector of $K^{\perp}$.

Conversely, suppose that $u^{*}$ is the unique solution of (3.1). There is no $\bar{u} \in K$ conforming to $r^{*}$ on $T^{*}$ else for some $\lambda>0,\left\|r^{*}-\lambda \bar{u}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\left\|r^{*}\right\|_{\infty}$, contradicting (3.1) or uniqueness. In Theorem 2.1 (with $K$ and $K^{\perp}$ interchanged), let $I_{i}=(0, \infty)$ if $r_{i}{ }^{*}>0, I_{i}=(-\infty, 0)$ if $r_{i}{ }^{*}<0$ and $I_{i}=\{0\}$ otherwise. Then, alternative (b) is false so (a) holds and there exists $v \in K^{\perp}$ such that $v_{2} \in I_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$. That is, $S(v)=T^{*}$ and $v$ conforms to $r^{*}$. Uniqueness of $u^{*}$ implies that $T^{*}$ intersects the support of every nonzero vector of $K$ so $v$ is a spanning vector of $K^{\perp}$.

Note that " $S(v)=T^{*}$ " can be replaced by " $S(v) \subseteq T^{*}$ " in the statement of the Theorem, by essentially the same proof.

Let $\operatorname{Dim}(K)$ denote the dimension of subspace $K$. We say that $K$ satisfies the Haar condition if every nonzero $y \in K^{\perp}$ satisfies $|S(y)|>\operatorname{Dim}(K)$. (If either $K=R^{n}$ or $K=\{0\}$, then both $K$ and $K^{\perp}$ satisfy the Haar condition, by application of the definition.) Assume that $K \neq R^{n}, K \neq\{0\}$. Let $A$ be a matrix whose $m=\operatorname{Dim}(K)$ columns form a basis for $K$. Then, every linearly dependent set of rows of $\boldsymbol{A}$ forms a submatrix of rank $m$ if and only if $K$ satisfies the Haar condition. As previously noted, $y \in K^{\perp}$ is a spanning vector of $K^{\perp}$ if and only if the rows of $A$ whose indices correspond to the indices of the nonzero components of $y$ form a submatrix of rank $m$. Thus, $K$ satisfies the Haar condition if and only if every nonzero $y \in K^{\perp}$ is a spanning vector of $K^{\perp}$. Fix nonzero $x^{\prime} \in K$. If every nonzero $y \in K^{\perp}$ is a spanning vector of $K^{\perp}$, then $S(y) \cap S\left(x^{\prime}\right) \neq \varnothing$ for all nonzero $y \in K$ and hence, $x^{\prime}$ is a spanning vector of $K$. From the above, we conclude that $K$ satisfies the Haar condition if and only if $K^{\perp}$ satisfies the Haar condition.

We now have a concise proof of a well-know result on uniqueness [5]:
Theorem 3.3. Let $K$ be a subspace of $R^{n}$. Then, (3.1) has a unique solution for every $b \in R^{n}$ if and only if $K$ satisfies the Haar condition.

Proof. Suppose that $K$ satisfies the Haar condition. Let $u^{*}$ be a solution of (3.1). If $b \in K$ then, the unique solution of (3.1) is $u^{*}=b$. Assume that $b \notin K$. Since every nonzero $v \in K^{\perp}$ is a spanning vector of $K^{\perp}$, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 imply that any solution of (3.1) is unique.

Conversely, suppose that $K$ does not satisfy the Haar condition. Then, there exists nonzero $v \in K^{\perp}$ with $|S(v)| \leqslant \operatorname{Dim}(K)$. Choose $b_{i}=-1$ if $v_{i}>0, b_{i}=+1$ if $v_{i}<0, b_{i}=0$ if $v_{i}=0$. Since $v \cdot b<0, b \notin K$. More-
over, $u^{*}=0$ solves (3.1) by Theorem (3.1), since $T^{*}=S(v)$ and $r^{*}=-b$. However, there is no spanning vector of $K^{\perp}, w$, satisfying $S(w)=T^{*}$, by cardinality of $T^{*}$. Thus, by Theorem 3.2 , the solution $u^{*}=0$ is not unique. $\square$

From the discussion of the Haar condition, we have the following corollary:
Corollary 3.3.1. Let $K_{1}$ be a subspace of $R^{n}$. Then Eq. (3.1), with $K=K_{1}$, has a unique solution for all $b \in R^{n}$ if and only if Eq. (3.1), with with $K=K_{1}{ }^{\perp}$, has a unique solution for all $b \in R^{n}$.

When $K$ fails to satisfy the Haar condition, there may exist some $b \notin K$ for which the solution of (3.1) is unique.

Theorem 3.4. Let $K$ be a subspace of $R^{n}$. Then, there exists $b \in R^{n}$, $b \notin K$, such that (3.1) has a unique solution if and only if $K$ has no vector with exactly one nonzero component.

Proof. By Theorem $2.2, K$ has no vector with exactly one nonzero component if and only if $K^{\perp}$ possesses a spanning vector. Suppose that $c$ is a spanning vector of $K^{\perp}$. Choose $b_{\imath}=-1$ if $v_{\imath}>0, b_{\imath}=+1$ if $v_{\imath}<0$, $b_{\imath}=0$ if $v_{i}=0$. By orthogonality, $b \notin K$. By Theorem 3.2, $u^{*}=0$ is the unique solution of (3.1). Conversely, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that if $K^{\perp}$ has no spanning vector, then no solution of (3.1) with $b \notin K$ is unique.

## 4. Testing for UniQueness

Now, we give a method for testing a solution of (3.1) for uniqueness. Let $A$ be a matrix whose $m$ columns form a basis for $K$. Assume that a solution of (3.1) $u^{*}$, is known and let $T^{*}$ be as in Theorem 3.1. First, determine whether a nonzero vector of $K$ exists with support disjoint from $T^{*}$ by performing Gauss-Jordan elimination steps on the columns of the transpose of $A$ whose indices correspond to $T^{*}$. If such operations reduce all these columns to zeros, then the solution $u^{*}$ is not unique. Otherwise, determine whether the following equations and inequalities are consistent (e.g., by a linear programming algorithm):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} v_{\imath}=0, \quad j=1, \ldots, m \\
v_{i} \geqslant 1, \quad \text { if } \quad r_{\imath}^{*}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad e_{\imath} \in T^{*} \\
v_{i} \leqslant-1, \quad \text { if } r_{i}^{*}<0 \quad \text { and } \quad e_{\imath} \in T^{*} \\
v_{2}=0, \quad \text { if } e_{\imath} \notin T^{*} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Theorem 3.2, the above is consistent if and only if $u^{*}$ is unique.

## 5. A Special Case

Let $W=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{t}\right\}$ be a finite set of elements. Let $E$ be a nonempty subset of $W \times W$ containing $n$ elements. Let $P$ be a given real-valued function on $E$. We wish to choose $F$, a real-valued function on $W$, so that

$$
\max _{\left(w_{2}, w_{j}\right) \in E}\left|\left(F\left(w_{j}^{\prime}\right)-F\left(w_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)-P\left[\left(w_{2}^{\prime}, w_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right|
$$

is minimized over all choices of $F$.
We represent the problem by a (directed) graph with $n$ ares and $t$ vertices, where each arc corresponds to a member of $E=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$. All graph theoretic terminology not explicitly defined here is identical to that used by Berge [2]. We assume that the graph is connected. (Otherwise, treat each connected component separately.)

Let $G$ be a graph and let $E$ be its set of $n$ arcs and $W$ its set of $t$ vertices. A tension $H$, is a real-valued function on $E$ having the property that there exists a real-valued potential function $\pi$, on $W$, such that $H\left[\left(w_{i}, w_{j}\right)\right]=$ $\pi\left(w_{3}\right)-\pi\left(w_{i}\right)$. (If a tension is given and $G$ is connected, a corresponding potential function is found by arbitrarily choosing $\pi\left(w_{1}\right)$.) Viewing a tension as a vector of $R^{n}$. it is not hard to show that the set of such tension vectors forms a subspace of $R^{n}$. Now, consider traversing an elementary cycle of $G$. We construct a vector of $R^{n}, x$, by setting $x_{2}=0$ if $e_{i}$ is not in the cycle, $x_{i}=+1$ if $e_{2}=\left(w_{c}, w_{b}\right)$ and is traversed from $w_{a}$ to $w_{b}, x_{2}=-1$ if $e_{l}=\left(w_{a}, w_{b}\right)$ and is traversed from $w_{b}$ to $w_{a}$. Any nonzero scalar multiple of a vector constructed in this way is called an elementary circulation rector of the graph. If $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{c}\right\}$ are the successive vertices of the cycle, then $\sum_{i: e_{2} \in E} x_{l} H\left(e_{l}\right)=\pi\left(w_{2}\right)-\pi\left(w_{1}\right)+\cdots+\pi\left(w_{1}\right)-\pi\left(w_{c}\right)=0$. That is, any elementary circulation vector is orthogonal to the tension subspace. (In fact, it can be shown that these vectors span the orthogonal complement of the tension subspace.) Let $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ be defined by $b_{k}=P\left[\left(w_{i}, w_{j}\right)\right]$ for all $e_{k}=\left(w_{i}, w_{j}\right) \in E$. The problem posed above is solved by a solution to (3.1) with $K$ the tension subspace of the associated graph.

We now give an algorithm for solution in this special case. In order to bound the number of steps, we assume that $b$ is integral-valued. (If $b$ is rational, multiply $b$ by the least common multiple of the denominators of the components of $b$.)

Let $\tilde{b}=\max _{i}\left|b_{i}\right|$. Let $s \leqslant t$ be the maximum number of arcs in an elementary cycle of $G$. If $v$ is an elementary circulation vector (of $K^{\perp}$ ), there exists $\lambda>0$ such that $\lambda v=\bar{v}$ and such that $\bar{v}_{i}=0,+1$ or -1 . Since $b \cdot v /\left\|\left.v\right|_{1} ^{\prime}=b \cdot \bar{v} / /: \bar{v}\right\|_{1}$, the quantity $|b \cdot v| /\|v\|_{1}$, is a rational number of form $p / q$ where $0 \leqslant p \leqslant \tilde{b} s, 0<q \leqslant s$.

We make use of an algorithm by Herz [1] for finding a "compatible tension." The algorithm (see Appendix I) finds $u \in K$ such that $k_{r} \leqslant u_{r} \leqslant l_{i}$
$\forall i \in J=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ or, if none exists, finds an elementary circulation vector such that

$$
\sum_{\imath: v_{i}>0} k_{\imath} v_{i}+\sum_{\imath: i_{i}<0} l_{\imath} v_{i}>0 .
$$

In this application, the constants $\left\{k_{i}\right\}$ and $\{l$,$\} are rational numbers of form$ $p / q$ where $q \leqslant s$.

We begin with $k_{i}=l_{i}=b_{2} \forall i \in J$. If a compatible tension is found, then $u^{*}=b$ is the solution of (3.1). If not, we obtain from the algorithm of Herz $v_{1} \in K^{\perp}$ such that $v_{1} \cdot b>0$. Let $\delta_{1}=v_{1} \cdot b /\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{1}$. By Corollary 3.1.1, $\min \|u-b\|_{\infty} \geqslant \delta_{1}$. We next seek $u^{\prime} \in K$ such that for all $i \in J,-\delta_{1} \leqslant u$, $b_{\imath} \leqslant \delta_{1}$. Let $k_{\imath}=b_{i}-\delta_{1}, l_{i}=b_{i}+\delta_{1}$ for all $i \in J$. If a compatible tension is found, then $u^{*}=u^{\prime}$ is a solution of (3.1) with $\left\|u^{*}-b\right\|_{x}=\delta_{1}$. If not, we obtain $v_{2} \in K^{\perp}$ such that $b \cdot v_{2}-\delta_{1}\left\|v_{2}\right\|_{1}>0$. Let $\delta_{2}=b \cdot v_{2} /\left\|v_{2}\right\|_{1}>\delta_{1}$. Then, $\min \|u-b\|_{\infty} \geqslant \delta_{2}$ by Corollary 3.1.1. We then seek $u^{\prime}$ such that $-\delta_{2} \leqslant u_{2}{ }^{\prime}-b_{i} \leqslant \delta_{2}$ for all $i \in J$. We repeat until a compatible tension is found. Since the $\left\{\delta_{j}\right\}$ form a monotonic increasing sequence, none occurs more than once. Thus, the compatible tension algorithm is used no more than $\tilde{b} s^{2}$ times.

In Appendix II, we prove the following:

Theorem 5.1. Let $G$ be a connected graph and let $K$ be the tension subspace of $G$. Let $T$ be a subset of the arcs of $G$. Then, there exists $v$, a spanning vector of $K^{\perp}$, with $S(v)=T$ and $v_{\imath} \geqslant 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ if and only if the graph obtained by deleting all arcs not in $T$ is strongly connected.

If $b \notin K$, the solution $u^{*}$ is tested for uniqueness as follows: Delete all but the set of arcs for which $\left|u_{2}{ }^{*}-b_{2}\right|=\left|\left|u^{*}-b\right|_{i x}\right.$. Reverse the orientation (i.e., change $e_{k}=\left(w_{a}, w_{b}\right)$ to $\left.e_{k}=\left(w_{b}, w_{u}\right)\right)$ of any arc having $u_{k}{ }^{*}-$ $b_{k}<0$. (This simply changes the sign of every nonzero $k$ th component of a vector of $K^{\perp}$.) By Theorems 3.2 and $5.1, u^{*}$ is unique if and only if the modified graph is strongly connected. (An algorithm for testing for this property by labeling each vertex at most twice is given in [3].)

## Appendix I

The following outlines an algorithm of Herz for finding a compatible tension [1, Chap. 5], using notation consistent with the preceding text. Let $K$ be the tension subspace of a graph $G$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be the arcs of $G$. We seek $u \in K$ such that $u_{i} \in\left[k_{i}, l_{2}\right]$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ where $\left\{k_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{l_{2}\right\}$ are given integers. (If these bounds are rational, multiply all by an appropriate
constant.) The distance of arbitrary $u_{i}$ to the interval $\left[k_{i}, l_{i}\right.$ ] is defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i}\left(u_{2}\right) & =0 \quad \text { if } \quad u_{2} \in\left[k_{2}, l_{2}\right] \\
& =k_{2}-u_{2} \quad \text { if } \quad u_{2}<k_{2} \\
& =u_{i}-l_{i} \quad \text { if } \quad u_{2}>l_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Starting with arbitrary integral $u$, we successively reduce the quantity $d(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\left(u_{i}\right)$. If $d(u)=0$, the tension is compatible and the calculation terminates. If $d(u)>0$, there exists an arc, say $e_{1}=(b, a)$, such that $d_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)>0$.

First, we suppose that $u_{1}<k_{1}, d_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=k_{1}-u_{1}$. Vertex $a$ is marked and marking of the remaining vertices is carried out as follows:

1. If $x$ is already marked and $y$ is not, mark $y$ if $(x, y)=c_{2}$ is an arc with $u_{2} \leqslant k_{2}$.
2. If $x$ is already marked and $y$ is not, mark $y$ if $(y, x)=e_{r}$ is an arc with $u_{i} \geqslant l_{i}$.

The marking terminates when no more vertices can be marked.
If vertex $b$ is not marked, then $d(u)$ can be reduced. Define a potential function on the vertices taking value +1 if the vertex is marked, 0 if not. The corresponding tension $\bar{u}$, has the properties:

$$
\bar{u}_{2}>0 \quad \text { if } \quad e_{i}=(b, a) .
$$

If $e_{\imath} \neq(b, a)$, then

$$
u_{2}>0 \Rightarrow u_{2}<l_{i} . \quad u_{2}<0 \Rightarrow u_{1}>k_{2} .
$$

These properties imply that $d(u+\bar{u}) \leqslant d(u)-1$. (In fact, the last step can often be improved upon by selecting the largest $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda \leqslant l_{2}-u_{2}, & \text { for all } i \text { with } \quad \bar{u}_{2}>0 \\
\lambda \leqslant u_{2}-k_{2}, & \text { for all } i \text { with } \quad \bar{u}_{2}<0
\end{array}
$$

Then, $d(u+\lambda \bar{u}) \leqslant d(u+\bar{u})$. Note that integrality of $u$ implies integrality of $\lambda$ and of the new tension $u+\lambda \bar{u}$.)

On the other hand, if vertex $b$ is marked by the above procedure, there exists a chain from $a$ to $b$ in which each vertex has been marked using the previous vertex in accordance with the stated rules. In conjunction with ( $b, a$ ), some subset of the arcs of this chain forms an elementary cycle. Let $v$ be an elementary circulation vector whose support corresponds to this cycle and such that $v_{1}=+1$. Then

$$
0=u \cdot v=\sum_{e_{2}, r_{2}>0} u_{1}-\sum_{e_{2}: x_{2}, 0} u_{2}<\sum_{e_{2}: x_{2}, 0} k_{1}-\sum_{e_{2}::_{2}, 0} l_{2} .
$$

If this holds, then a vector satisfying $u_{i}{ }^{\prime} \in\left[k_{i}, l_{i}\right]$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ would satisfy $u^{\prime} \cdot v>0$, so no such vector belongs to $K$.

The other case to consider is $e_{1}=(b, a), u_{1}>l_{1}, d_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=u_{1}-l_{1}$. Essentially, the same procedure is followed. First, mark vertex $a$.

1. If $x$ is marked and $y$ is not, mark $y$ if $(y, x)=e_{i}$ is an arc with $u_{i} \leqslant k_{2}$.
2. If $x$ is marked and $y$ is not, mark $y$ if $(x, y)=e_{z}$ is an arc with $u_{i} \geqslant l_{i}$.

If $b$ is not marked, obtain $\bar{u}$ from the potential function that takes value 0 on marked vertices, +1 on unmarked vertices. If $b$ is marked, obtain $v$ as above with $v_{1}=-1$.
If the procedure is started with $u \equiv 0$, then initially,

$$
d(u) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max \left(\left|k_{2}\right|,\left|l_{i}\right|\right)
$$

Since each application of the marking procedure reduces $d(u)$ by at least one, the above expression bounds the number of such applications required to obtain a compatible tension or determine that none exists.

## Appendix II

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the strongly connected graph obtained by deleting from $G$ all but the arcs of $T$. By a well-known property of strongly connected graphs [3], every arc belongs to an elementary circuit. Thus, there exists an elementary circulation vector with support contained in $T$, having no negative components, and such that a chosen arc belongs to its support. A sum of such vectors, one for each arc in $T$, is a non-negative vector of $K^{\perp}$ having support equal to $T$. Suppose that there exists $u \in K$ whose support is disjoint from $T$. Since $T$ is incident with every vertex and since $G^{\prime}$ is connected, every potential function corresponding to $u$ is constant on $W$ and thus, $u \equiv 0$. Therefore, the vector constructed above is a spanning vector of $K^{\perp}$.
Conversely, suppose that $v$ is a spanning vector of $K^{+}, S(v)=T, v_{i} \geqslant 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. If $G^{\prime}$, the graph obtained by deleting the ares not in $T$, is not strongly connected, then there exist $w_{a} \in W$ and $w_{b} \in W$ such that there is no path in $G^{\prime}$ from $w_{a}$ to $w_{b}$. We define a potential function on $G$ as follows: Set $\pi\left(w_{a}\right)=1$. Set $\pi\left(w_{i}\right)=1$ if there is a path from $w_{a}$ to $w_{i}$ in $G^{\prime}$. Set $\pi\left(w_{i}\right)=0$ if there is no path from $w_{a}$ to $w_{\imath}$ in $G^{\prime}$. Since $G$ is connected, the
corresponding tension vector $u$, is nonzero. Also, $u_{i} \geqslant 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. By orthogonality, $S(u)$ does not intersect $S(v)$, contradicting the spanning property of $v$.
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